## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

MARSHALL BELL, II, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:21-CV-04819-JPB

## ORDER ADOPTING FINAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Final Report and Recommendation [Doc. 49]. No objections have been filed. This Court finds as follows:

Absent objection, a district judge has broad discretion to accept, reject or modify a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations. <u>United States v. Raddatz</u>, 447 U.S. 667, 680 (1980). Because no objections have been filed, and in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court has reviewed the Final Report and Recommendation for clear error and finds none.

Accordingly, the Court APPROVES AND ADOPTS the Final Report and Recommendation [Doc. 49] as the judgment of the Court. For the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 40] is GRANTED. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 36] is DENIED as moot. The Clerk is DIRECTED to close this case.

No later than July 3, 2023, Plaintiffs and their counsel are **HEREBY**ORDERED to show cause why they should not be ordered to pay the reasonable costs and attorney's fees of Defendant incurred in defending this action. IT IS

FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiffs and their counsel oppose such an award of fees, Plaintiffs and their counsel shall show cause why Hansz should not be deposed. Even though the case is closed, the Clerk is **DIRECTED** to return this matter to the Magistrate Judge to determine whether to impose sanctions.

**SO ORDERED** this 12th day of June, 2023.

J. P. BOULEE

United States District Judge