1	June D. Coleman (State Bar No. 191890)		
2	MESSER STRICKLER BURNETTE, Ltd. 5960 S. Land Park Dr. – Suite 1059		
3	Sacramento, CA 95822		
4	(916) 502-1768		
5	(312) 334-3473 Facsimile		
6	Attorney for Defendant TRUEACCORD CORP.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT – RIVERSIDE		
11	ANDREW TILDEN,	Case No.: 5:23-cv-1004	
12	THOREW TIEDET,	Cuse 110 3.23 CV 1001	
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT	
14	V.	TO PEDERAL COURT	
15	TRUEACCORD CORP.,		
16	Defendant.		
17			
	Defendant, TRUEACCORD CORP.	("TRUEACCORD" or "Defendant"), hereby	
18	files its Notice of Removal for the above-captioned case to this Court, and in support of		
19	this removal, respectfully states as follows:		
20	Defendant TrueAccord Corp. i	is named as a defendant in Civil Action No.	
21	CIVSB2305322 filed in the Superior Court		

Defendant TrueAccord Corp. is named as a defendant in Civil Action No.
 CIVSB2305322 filed in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San
 Bernardino, Limited Civil Division, styled <u>Andrew Tilden v. TrueAccord Corp.</u>, ("the State Court Action").

2. The Petition in the State Court Action was filed with the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Bernardino, Limited Civil Division, on or about February 17, 2023. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), copies of all process, pleadings and orders in the State Court Action are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

- 3. In the State Court Action, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated a federal statute, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788 *et seq.*
- 4. Any civil action is removable if the plaintiff could have originally brought the action in federal court. *See*, 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- 5. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 this Court has original federal question jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FDCPA claims and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 as to Plaintiff's state law claims.
- 6. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), Defendant has the right to remove the State Court Action to this Court, without regard to the citizenship or residency of the parties or the amount in controversy.
- 7. Defendant TrueAccord Corp. was formally served with the Summons and Petition that was filed on March 20, 2023 on May 11, 2023. This removal is therefore timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 8. By this Notice of Removal, Defendant does not waive any defense, jurisdiction or otherwise, which it may possess. Defendant also does not concede that Plaintiff has stated a claim against it.

WHEREFORE, in accordance with the authorities set forth above, Defendant hereby removes this action from the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Bernardino, Limited Civil Division, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Eastern Division.

DATED: June 1, 2023 MESSER STRICKLER BURNETTE, Ltd.

June D. Coleman

Attorney for Defendant TRUEACCORD CORP.

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 I am employed in the County of Cook, Illinois. I am over the age of 18 years 3 and not a party to the above-entitled action. My business address is 142 W. Station 4 Street, Barrington, Illinois 60010. 5 On the date indicated below, I served the attached document entitled: 6 7 DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT 8 9 On the following parties: 10 David J. McGlothin 11 Mona Amini Gustavo Ponce 12 KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 13 245 Fischer Ave., Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 14 david@kazlg.com 15 mona@kazlg.com gustavo@kazlg.com 16 17 I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was 18 electronically served via email to the parties listed on the service list with the Court, and to 19 the parties authorized to receive via this Court's CM/ECF system on this June 1, 2023. 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that 21 the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 1, 2023, in Barrington, Illinois. 22 23 24 Annie Christenson Dated: June 1, 2023 25 Annie Christenson 26 27 28

EXHIBIT

A

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

TRUEACCORD CORP.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ANDREW TILDEN

SUM-100 FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE) OR COURT OF CALIFORNIA TY OF SAN BERNARDINO RNARDINO CIVIL DIVISION APR 2 5 2023 Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/seifhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carte o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que ester en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por lay, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

CASE NUMBER

(Número del Caso)

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of CA, County of San Bernardino

San Bernardino District - Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

David J. McGlothlin, Mona Amini, Gustavo Ponce: Kazerouni Law Group, APC: 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 DATE Clerk, by . Deputy (Fecha) (Secretario) (Adjunto) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) Khivara Frontela

Para prueba de entrega de e	sta citation use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).
(SEAL)	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
COPY	3. X on behalf of (specify): TrueAccord Corp. under: X GCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90
	other (specify): 4. Substituting the personal delivery on (date): 5-11-23
	" Lead by helabital delivery bit (rd(r)).

(minor) (conservatee) (authorized person)

1	KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC David J. McGlothlin, Esq. (SBN: 253265)	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2	david@kazlg.com	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
3	Mona Amini, Esq. (SBN: 296829) mona@kazlg.com	FEB 17 2023
4	Gustavo Ponce, Esq. (SBN: 343430) gustavo@kazlg.com	
5	245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1	By: Khiyara Frontela. Deputy
	Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808	
6	Facsimile: (800) 520-5523	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Andrew Tilden	
8		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA S BERNARDINO – LIMITED CIVIL
10		
11	ANDREW TILDEN,	Case No.: (1783 708 708 22
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF:
13	vs.	(1) THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT
14	TRUEACCORD CORP,	COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788, ET SEQ.;
15	Defendant.	AND
16		(2) THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692,
		ET SEQ.
17		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
18		
19		-X-1 1
20	III	
21	m	
22	<i> </i>	
23	\overline{H}	
24	 //	
25	///	
	 ///	
28		
48	<i>III</i>	
- 1		-1-

COMPLAINT

..-

ANTERPORT ANTOFICALIST THAT THE COLUMN THE C

ESS 11 3B=

Khainar Finders

Priscilla Saldana

LEB 1 1 2023

RECEIVED
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Qase 5:23-cv-01004 Document 1-1 Filed 06/01/23 Page 5 of 18 Page ID #:8

Introduction

- 1. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, et seq. to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty, and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.¹
- 3. The California legislature has also found that the collection of debt purchased by debt buyers has become a significant focus of public concern due to the adequacy of documentation required to be maintained by the industry in support of collection activities and litigation. In that regard, setting specific documentation and process standards will protect consumers, provide needed clarity to courts, and establish clearer criteria for debt buyers and the collection industry.
- 4. Plaintiff ANDREW TILDEN ("Plaintiff"), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of TRUEACCORD CORP ("Defendant") with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this

¹ Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788.1 (a)-(b)

- conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- 5. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 6. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statute cited in its entirety.
- 7 7. Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Defendant took place in California.
 - 8. Any violations by Defendant were knowing, willful, and intentional, and Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.
 - 9. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of Defendant's named.
 - 10. All violations alleged regarding the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Rosenthal Act are material violations as these violations would limit the ability of a hypothetical least sophisticated debtor to make an intelligent choice as to the alleged debt and actions that should be taken to resolve the alleged debt.
 - 11. The "least sophisticated debtor" standard applies to questions of violations of § 1692f. Wade v. Regional Credit Association, 87 F.3d 1098, 1100 (9th Cir. 1996). "If the least sophisticated debtor would 'likely be misled' by a communication from a debt collector, the debt collector has violated the Act." Guerrero v. RJM Acquisitions, LLC, 499 F.3d 926. 934 (9th Cir. 2007). "The 'least sophisticated debtor' standard is lower than simply examining whether particular language would deceive or mislead a reasonable debtor." Gonzales v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC, 660 F.3d 1055, 1061-62 (9th Cir. 2011). This objective standard will ensure that the FDCPA protects all consumers, the gullible as well as the shrewd, the ignorant, the unthinking and the credulous. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Servs., 460 F.3d 1162, 1171 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F.2d 1314, 1318-19 (2d Cir. 1993)).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to general jurisdiction.

13. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices
Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788, et seq.

- 14. Because Defendant conducts business within the State of California, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 15. Venue is proper under state law according to California Civil Procedure section 395 because this county is where Defendant resides where the conduct giving rise to Plaintiff's injury occurred. Furthermore, Defendant is subject to liabilities in the County of San Bernardino, State of California, where it has a principal place of business and willfully and knowingly engaged in debt collection communications with Plaintiff in violation of the Rosenthal Act.

PARTIES

- 16. Plaintiff is a natural person who, at all times relevant herein, resided in San Bernardino, California, from whom a debt collector sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff. In addition, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 17. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a company registered and formed under the laws of the State of Delaware with a primary place of business and/or headquarters located in Lenexa, Kansas. Plaintiff further alleges, at all relevant times herein, Defendant conducted business and engaged in debt collection activities in the State of California, including the County of San Bernardino.
- 18. Defendant, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of themselves or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), and is therefore a "debt collector" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c) and 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 19. This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or alleged to be due or

owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a "consumer debt" and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f) and a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. At all times relevant, Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Bernardino, California.
- 21. Sometime before January 2021, Plaintiff allegedly incurred financial obligations to an original creditor, Synchrony Bank (Care Credit), that were money, property, or their equivalent, (the "Debt") which were due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and were therefore "debt(s)" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).
- 22. Sometime thereafter but before August 2022, Plaintiff allegedly fell behind on the payments owed on the Debt.
- 23. Afterwards, the alleged debt was allegedly assigned, placed, or otherwise transferred, to Defendant for collection. Thereafter, Defendant began contacting Plaintiff in attempt to collect upon the Debt on behalf of the current creditor, LVNV Funding, LLC ("LVNV").
- 24. On or around August 30, 2022, Defendant sent Plaintiff an email attempting to collect the Debt on behalf of LVNV.
- 25. Concerned by Defendant's collection email, on or around August 31, 2022, Plaintiff sent a letter to Defendant via email disputing the Debt, demanding validation, verification and proof of the Debt which Defendant claimed was owed by Plaintiff (the "Dispute"), the email was not returned as undeliverable.
- 24 26. Defendant provided no response to Plaintiff's Dispute.
- 25 27. Despite Plaintiff sending the Dispute and Defendant receiving the Dispute, Defendant decided to move forward with its collection attempts against Plaintiff without validating the alleged Debt.
 - 28. Specifically, on or around September 1, 2022, without providing Plaintiff with the requested

- validation, verification, and/or proof of the Debt, Defendant sent Plaintiff another email attempting to collect the Debt from Plaintiff on behalf of LVNV.
 - 29. Additionally, Defendant sent Plaintiff substantially similar debt collection emails on September 4, 2022, September 6, 2022, September 9, 2022, September 13, 2022, September 16, 2022, September 18, 2022, September 22, 2022, September 25, 2022, October 14, 2022, October 16, 2022, October 18, 2022, October 23, 2022, October 28, 2022, November 7, 2022, November 14, 2022, November 26, 2022, November 30, 2022, and December 11, 2022.
 - 30. Defendant sent Plaintiff at least 19 emails without validating the alleged Debt or providing Plaintiff with any response to the Dispute.
 - 31. Defendant never provided Plaintiff with the requested validation, verification and/or proof of the Debt.
 - 32. Defendant's debt collection letter and emails to Plaintiff constituted a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2) and "debt collection" as that phrase is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(b).
 - 33. Defendant's collection letters misrepresented and deceived Plaintiff regarding Plaintiff's statutory rights under § 1692g(b).
 - 34. Through the above conduct, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b) by continuing with repeated attempts to collect upon the alleged debt from Plaintiff without providing Plaintiff with validation, verification, and/or proof of the Debt and disregarding Plaintiff's Dispute of the Debt.
 - 35. Through the above conduct, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C § 1692d by engaging in conduct, the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress or abuse Plaintiff. This section is incorporated into the RFDCPA through Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17. Thus, Defendant has also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
 - 36. Through the above conduct, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C §§ 1692e and 1692e(10) by using false representations as well as deceptive means to attempt to collect an alleged debt. This section is incorporated into the RFDCPA through Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17. Thus, Defendant has also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

- 37. Through the above conduct, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt. This section is incorporated into the RFDCPA through Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17. Thus, Defendant has also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 38. Through all the above-described conduct, Defendant took actions against Plaintiff concerning the alleged debt in violation of the statutes discussed above. Specifically, Defendant has violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692f and 1692g of the FDCPA. Sections 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692f are incorporated into the RFDCPA through Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17; therefore, Defendant has also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's unfair, oppressive, and abusive conduct in connection with its debt collection activity, Plaintiff has suffered mental anguish by way of stress, frustration, anxiousness, and was misled and confused by Defendant's repeated threats and continued collection communications to Plaintiff.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788, et seq. (RFDCPA)

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 20 41. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the RFDCPA.
 - 42. As a result of each and every violation of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a); statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c) from Defendant.

| | ///

///

|| ///

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, et seq. (FDCPA)

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 44. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA.
- 45. As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for:

- an award of actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a), against Defendant;
- an award of actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1), against Defendant;
- an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b), against Defendant;
- an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A), against Defendant;
- an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ.
 Code § 1788.30(c), against Defendant;
- an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3), against Defendant; and
- any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

46. Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

4 Dated: February 16, 2023

Respectfully submitted.

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

Bv

DAVID J. McGlothlin, Esq. Mona Amini, Esq. Gustavo Ponce, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 5.23-cv-01004 Docu	ment 1-1 - Filed 06/01/23 - Paj	ge 14 01 18 Page ID #:17
Dávid J. McGlothlin, Esq. (SBN: 253265); I Gustavo Ponce, Esq. (SBN: 343430)		FOR COURT USE ONLY
Kazerouni Law Group, APC, 245 Fischer A	venue Suite D1 Costa Masa C4 02626	SUBSEDION FILED
		SUPERIOR COLORS
TELEPHONE NO (800) 400-6808	FAXING (Optional) (800) 520-5523	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING
ATTORNEY FOR (Name) Plaintiff, Andrew Tilden		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY O	F SAN BERNARDINO	FEB 17 2023
STREET ADDRESS 247 West Third Street		,
MAKING ADDRESS same as above		Khinas Es H
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Bernardino, CA 92415 BRANCH NAME: San Bernardino District - Civ	at District	BY: Knivers Franklin
		- Prometa, Deputy
CASE NAME: Andrew Tilden v. TrueAccord (Corp	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER
Unlimited X Limited	Counter Joinder	cty 93 7 50 5 1 2 1
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defendant	
demanded demanded is	(Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	3000
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000)		DEPT
Check one box below for the case type that	low must be completed (see instructions o	n page z)
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (19)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)		Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Citier contract (37)	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)		Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Vikonaful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (98)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
X Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other peation (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)		
	Other judicial review (39)	
 This case is x is not com factors requiring exceptional judicial manag 	plex under rule 3,400 of the California Rul ement:	es of Court, If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repre-		e of with a second
b. Extensive motion practice raising	_	f of witnesses
issues that will be time-consuming		with related actions pending in one or more
c. Substantial amount of documenta		r counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
	f. Substantial or	ostjudgment judicial supervision
Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	N monetary b. nonmonetary: de	eclaratory or injunctive relief o
 Number of causes of action (specify): 2: vi 	olations of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788, et seq.	and 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, et seq.
5. This case is is is not a cla	ass action suit.	•
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You ma	ay use form CM-015.)
Date: 2/16/2023		Mehl
Gustavo Ponce, Esq.		July
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fill under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W.	Velfare and Institutions Code: (Cot. D.)	except small claims cases or cases filed
in sanctions	chare and manufions code), (Cal. Killes	of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
· File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	sheet required by local court rule	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s	eg. of the California Rules of Court vois m	list serve a convint this cover shoot on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		
 Unless this is a collections case under rule: 	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only.
Control of the Contro		TREAST UNITED

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3 220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases, A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages. (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writt of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex. CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury) Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

> Asbestos (04) Ashestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress Negligent infliction of

Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination. false arrest) (not civil

harassmentl (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissary Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise. report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above; (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(nan-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

San Bernardino District 247 West 3rd St San Bernardino CA 92415 www.sb-court.org 909-708-8678

Tiiden -y-	TrueAccord	Com

NOTICE OF TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE and NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

Case Number

CIVSB2305322

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE UNIT D1 COSTA MESA CA 92626

This case has been assigned to: Jeffrey R Erickson in Department S14 - SBJC for all purposes.

Notice is hereby given that the above-entitled case has been set for Trial Setting Conference on:

Hearing Date: 10/25/2023 at 8:30 AM in Department S14 - SBJC

Date: 4/25/2023	By: Aranl
•••••	Khíyara Frontela, Deputy Clerk
CER	TIFICATE OF SERVICE
 Enclosed in a sealed envelope mailed to this date, following standard Court practic Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class mailed to the interested party and addres A copy of this notice was given to the filin 	s postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, used as shown above or as shown on the attached listing, up party at the counter.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgo	ping is true and correct. Executed on 4/25/2023 at San
	Bu VIII

By:

Khiyara Frontela, Deputy Clerk

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY I	MTHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): FAX NO. (Optional);	TRIAL SETTING UNLIMITED CASE	CONFERENCE DATE:	
SUPERIOR COURT OF		JNTY OF SAN BERNARDIN	10
THE SOL NOONLOG.		o. o.a. Delmaton	-
PLAINTIFF:			
DEFENDANT:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
INITIAL TRIAL	SETTING CONFE	RENCE STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
Party or parties (answer o	doc to days prior to the	me)	n must be provided. This docume
Service of Complaint on a		•	
		has not been completed.	
Description of case in Cor		nas not Di been completed.	
Description of case in Cross-Complaint:			
a confidence of case in the	вз-сопрын.		
Has all discovery been com	pleted: Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Date discovery anticipated to be	completed:
			Court-sponsored:
		Please attach a Notice of Related (
	lidate 🔲 Trial dates re	equested: Yes 🗌 No 🔲 Ava	
Other issues: The following additional	matters are requested to t	pe considered by the Court:	
. Meet and Confer: The parties represent the	at they have met and con	ferred on all subjects required by C	California Rules of Court, Rule 3.724.
☐ The parties have entere	d into the following stipula	lion(s):	
Total number of pages attac	ched (if any):		
resolution, as well as other i	issues raised by this state	r prepared to discuss the status of ment, and will possess the authorit e, including the written authority of	V to enter into stinulations on these
(TYPE OR PRINT NAM	ME)	(SIGNATURE OI	F PARTY OR ATTORNEY
			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAM	ME)	(SIGNATURE O	PARTY OR ATTORNEY

Case 5:23-cv-01004 Document 1-1 Filed 06/01/23 Page 17 of 18 Page ID #:20

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Andrew Tilden, Plaintiff	Case No.:
vs.	CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT
True Accord Corp, Defendant	
A civil action or proceeding presen s the residence of a party, name a	nted for filing must be accompanied by this Certificate. If the ground and residence shall be stated.
The undersigned declares that the	above-entitled matter is filed for proceedings in the District of the Superior Court under Rule131 and General Order
of this court for the checked reason	1:
x General	Collection
Nature of Action	Ground
1. Adoption	Petitioner resides within the district
2. Conservator	Petitioner or conservatee resides within the district
3. Contract	Performance in the district is expressly provided for.
4. Equity	The cause of action arose within the district.
5. Eminent Domain	The property is located within the district.
6. Family Law	Plaintiff, defendant, petitioner or respondent resides within the district.
7. Guardianship	Petitioner or ward resides within the district or has property within the district
8. Harassment	Plaintiff, defendant, petitioner or respondent resides within the district.
9. Mandate	The defendant functions wholly within the district.
10. Name Change 11. Personal Injury	The petitioner resides within the district. The injury conversed within the district.
12. Personal Property	The injury occurred within the district. The property is located within the district.
13. Probate	Decedent resided or resides within or had property within the district.
14. Prohibition	The defendant functions wholly within the district.
15. Review	The defendant functions wholly within the district.
16. Title to Real Property	The property is located within the district.
17. Transferred Action	The lower court is located within the district.
18. Unlawful Detainer	The property is located within the district.
19. Domestic Violence 20. Other	The petitioner, defendant, plaintiff or respondent resides within the district
21. THIS FILING WOULD	NORMALLY FALL WITHIN JURISDICTION OF SUPERIOR COURT
The address of the accident, performacase for filing in the above-designed of	ance, party, detention, place of business, or other factor which qualifies this district is:
ndrew Tilden, Plaintiff	2326 Sepulveda Avenue
NAME - INDICATE TITLE OR OTHER QUALIFYII	NG FACTOR ADDRESS
an Bernardino	CA 92404
CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
	, that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was
executed on February 16, 2023	atCosta Mesa
California.	
	Athle -
	() and a

Form # 13-18503-360 Mandatory Use