UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 0:23cv60339

KIRA LOWREY,		
Plaintiff,		
V.		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
DURHAM & DURHAM LLP,		JUNI IMIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	,	
	/	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Kira Lowrey ("Plaintiff") sues Durham & Durham LLP ("Defendant") for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
- 2. Venue in this District is proper because Plaintiff resides here, Defendant transacts business here, and the complained conduct of Defendant occurred here.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is a natural person, and a citizen of the State of Florida, residing in Broward County, Florida.
- 4. Defendant is a Georgia limited liability partnership, with its principal place of business located in Atlanta, Georgia.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

5. Plaintiff, respectfully, demands a trial by jury on all counts and issues so triable.

ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began attempting to collect a debt (the "Consumer Debt") from Plaintiff.
- 7. The Consumer Debt is an obligation allegedly had by Plaintiff to pay money arising from an unsecured line of credit between the original creditor of the Consumer Debt, Etowah Emergency Physicians LLC, and Plaintiff (the "Subject Service").
 - 8. The Subject Service was primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 9. The Consumer Debt is a debt related to a consumer financial product and/or service because the Consumer Debt arises from the unsecured line of credit the original creditor extended to Plaintiff, whereby said unsecured line of creditor was for the personal benefit of Plaintiff, Plaintiff's family, and/or members of Plaintiff's household.
- 10. In particular, the Consumer Debt relates to medical services provided to Plaintiff at Floyd Medical Center at 12-21-2020.
- 11. Defendant is a business entity engaged in the business of soliciting consumer debts for collection.
 - 12. Defendant is a business entity engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts.
- 13. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 14. Defendant is registered with the Florida Office of Financial Regulation as a "Consumer Collection Agency."
 - 15. Defendant's "Consumer Collection Agency" license number is CCA9904199.
- 16. Defendant maintains all the records specified in Rule 69V-180.080, Florida Administrative Code.

- 17. The records specified by Rule 69V-180.080, Florida Administrative Code, of which Defendant does maintain, are current to within one week of the current date.
- 18. Defendant maintains and keeps updated within seven (7) days the records required by Florida Administrative Code Rule 180.080(1), (3), (6), (7), (9), (10), and (11).
- 19. Defendant has written policies and procedures for the secure handling of all consumer documents and information received in the course of collecting a debt from a consumer as required by Rule 69V-180.090(2).
 - 20. Defendant is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - 21. Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of Fla. Stat. § 559.72.
- 22. On a date better known by Defendant, Defendant sent a letter to Plaintiff (the "Collection Letter") in an attempt to collect the Consumer Debt. A copy of the Collection Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
 - 23. The Collection Letter is undated.
- 24. Despite the fact the Collection Letter lacks a date, Defendant states in the Collection Letter that:

\$1400
+ \$0
+ \$0
- \$0
\$1400

See Exhibit A.

- 25. The Collection Letter fails to contain any information regarding what date "today" and "now" refers as used in the Collection Letter.
- 26. It cannot be determined from the Collection Letter what date "today" and/or "now" refers to because the Collection Letter is undated.
- 27. Plaintiff was confused and mislead as to the status and amount of the Consumer Debt because the Collection Letter was undated.
 - 28. It is common practice to date official letters.
 - 29. Letters that lack a date seem illegitimate.
- 30. By withholding the date of the Collection Letter, Defendant withheld a material term from Plaintiff that made it confusing for Plaintiff to understand the amount of the Consumer Debt.
- 31. The undated Collection Letter, coupled with the references to "today" and "now," caused Plaintiff to waste time considering the legitimacy of the Collection Letter.
- 32. The undated Collection Letter, coupled with the references to "today" and "now," caused Plaintiff to waste time attempting to determine the amount of the Consumer Debt.
- 33. The undated Collection Letter caused Plaintiff to waste time attempting to determine what date "today" and "now" referred to in the Collection Letter.
- 34. The Collection Letter represents Defendant's initial communication with Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the Consumer Debt.
- 35. The Collection Letter fails to properly provide the amount of the underlying debt because the Collection Letter ties the amount to an unknown date.
- 36. The Collection Letter informs Plaintiff of the amount of the debt "[a]s of 12/31/2020." The Collection Letter then lists the amounts in interest and fees Plaintiff was charged

"[b]etween 12/31/2020 and today," as well as the amount Plaintiff "paid or [was] credited toward the debt." Finally, the Collection Letter lists the "[t]otal amount of the debt now."

- 37. The Collection Letter fails to state the full amount of the Consumer Debt because it (the Collection Letter) is undated.
- 38. The Collection Letter fails to provide means by which Plaintiff could assess whether, and by how much, the underlying debt might increase in the future if Plaintiff did not promptly pay.
- 39. The Collection Letter makes multiple references to a timeline against which Defendant assessed the debt, including interest and fees, but the Collection Letter provides no date or corresponding information, aside from the itemization date of 12/31/2020.
- 40. The undated Collection Letter mislead Plaintiff and made it confusing for Plaintiff to understand the amount and nature of the Consumer Debt.
- 41. The undated Collection Letter is misleading to the least sophisticated consumer with regard to the outstanding amount of the Consumer Debt.
- 42. The undated Collection Letter is unfair or unconscionable to the least sophisticated consumer.
- 43. The undated Collection Letter obscured the full amount of the underlying debt and disadvantages Plaintiff from making an educated decision regarding the underlying debt.

COUNT 1 VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692g

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference ¶¶ 6-43 of this Complaint.
- 45. Section 1692g(a)(1) of the FDCPA requires that a debt collector send the consumer a written notice containing "the amount of the debt." See 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(1). That notice must be contained in either the initial communication regarding the debt, or in another communication

"[w]ithin five days after the initial communication." <u>Id</u>. Critically, "[s]imply stating the amount due is not enough." <u>Melillo v. Shendell & Assocs., P.A.</u>, 2012 WL 253205, at *4 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 26, 2012) (*citing* <u>Chuway v. Nat'l Action Fin. Servs., Inc.</u>, 362 F.3d 944, 948 (7th Cir.2004). "To satisfy [section] 1692g(a), the debt collector's notice must state the required information clearly enough that the recipient is likely to understand it." <u>Leonard</u>, 713 F. App'x at 882–83 (alteration added; *quoting* <u>Janetos v. Fulton Friedman & Gullace, LLP</u>, 825 F.3d 317, 321 (7th Cir. 2016); Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996)).

- 46. Here, the Collection Letter fails to properly provide the amount of the debt as required by § 1692g(a)(1) because the Collection Letter ties the amount of the debt to an unknown date. *See* Gesten, 57 F. Supp. 3d at 1388 (noting that the FDCPA "would have [been] satisfied" if the defendant had "provided [the] [p]laintiff with a payoff amount as of the date of the letters and supplied the information required to calculate the payoff amount for some reasonable number of days into the future" (alterations and emphasis added)). As stated above, the Collection Letter fails to contain any information regarding what date "today" and "now" refers as used in the Collection Letter. Moreover, it cannot be determined from the Collection Letter what date "today" and/or "now" refers to because the Collection Letter is undated.
- 47. As such, because the undated Collection Letter uses "today" and "now" in attempting to provide the amount of the debt without providing any information as to what date "today" and/or "now" entails, Defendant, by and through the Collection Letter, violated § 1692g(a)(1) of the FDCPA.
- 48. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court to enter a judgment against Defendant awarding the following relief:
 - (a) Actual damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;

- (b) Statutory damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (c) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- (d) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 2 VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692e

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates by reference ¶¶ 6-43 of this Complaint.
- 50. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits the use of "false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. §1692e. The sixteen subsections of § 1692e set forth a non-exhaustive list of practices that fall within this ban, including, but not limited to: "[t]he false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A). (emphasis added).
- 51. Here, the Collection Letter is deceptive and misleading with respect to the amount of the Consumer Debt because the Collection Letter ties the amount of the debt to an unknown date. As stated above, the Collection Letter fails to contain any information regarding what date "today" and "now" refers as used in the Collection Letter. Moreover, it cannot be determined from the Collection Letter what date "today" and/or "now" refers to because the Collection Letter is undated. As such, because the undated Collection Letter uses "today" and "now" in attempting to provide the amount of the debt without providing any information as to what date "today" and/or "now" entails, the Collection Letter is deceptive and/or misleading with respect to the amount of the consumer debt, as well as misleading to the least sophisticated consumer with respect to the amount of the consumer debt. *See* Roger v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship, No. 22-23192-CIV, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22279, at *20 (S.D. Fla. Feb. 9, 2023) ("the undated Letter might plausibly 'mislead[]' the least-sophisticated consumer with regard to the outstanding amount of the debt.").

- 52. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court to enter a judgment against Defendant awarding the following relief:
 - (a) Actual damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
 - (b) Statutory damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
 - (c) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
 - (d) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 3 VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692f

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference ¶¶ 6-43 of this Complaint.
- 54. Section 1692f of the FDCPA states "[a] debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. §1692f. *See LeBlanc v. Unifund CCR Partners*, 601 F.3d 1185, 1200 (11th Cir. 2010) ("[a]n act or practice is deceptive or unfair if it has the tendency or capacity to deceive.").
- 55. Here, the Collection Letter fails to contain any information regarding what date "today" and "now" refers as used in the Collection Letter. Moreover, it cannot be determined from the Collection Letter what date "today" and/or "now" refers to because the Collection Letter is undated. As such, because the undated Collection Letter uses "today" and "now" in attempting to provide the amount of the debt without providing any information as to what date "today" and/or "now" entails, the Collection Letter is an unfair or unconscionable attempt to collect a debt, as the Collection Letter obscures the full amount of the debt to the disadvantage of the least sophisticated consumer, as well as Plaintiff.
- 56. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court to enter a judgment against Defendant awarding the following relief:
 - (a) Actual damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;

- (b) Statutory damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (c) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- (d) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

DATED: February 22, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Thomas Patti

THOMAS PATTI, ESQ.

Florida Bar No. 118377

E-mail: Tom@pzlg.legal

VICTOR ZABALETA, ESQ.

Florida Bar No. 118517

E-mail: Victor@pzlg.legal

PATTI ZABALETA LAW GROUP

3323 Northwest 55th Street

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309

Phone: 561-542-8550

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

EXHIBIT A

JRHAM l.l.p.

5665 New Northside Drive, Suite 510 Atlanta, Georgia 30328

Telephone: 770-874-6800 or 1-866-884-1000

Facsimile: 770-874-6815 www.durham-durham.com 223 SPUR 101 SE SILVER CREEK, GA 30173-2034

Reference File Number: 14118455

Durham & Durham, LLP is a debt collector. We are trying to collect a debt that you owe to ETOWAH EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS, LLC. We will use any information you give us to help collect the debt.

Our information shows:

Medical Services have been provided to LOWREY, KIRA T by, ETOWAH EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS, LLC with account number 2178129A11501838 on 12/31/2020 at FLOYD MEDICAL CENTER (ER).

As of 12/31/2020, you owed:	\$1400
Between 12/31/2020 and today:	
You were charged this amount in interest:	+ \$0
You were charged this amount in fees:	+ \$0
You paid or were credited this amount toward the debt:	- \$0
Total amount of the debt now:	\$1400

How can you dispute the debt?

- Call or write to us by 6/10/2022 to dispute all or part of the debt. If you do not, we will assume that our information
- If you write to us by 6/10/2022, we must stop collection on any amount you dispute until we send you information that shows you owe the debt. You may use the form below or write to us without the form. You may also include supporting documents.

What else can you do?

- Write to ask for the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor. If you write by 6/10/2022, we will stop collection until we send you that information. You may use the form below or write to us without the form.
- Go to www.cfpb.gov/debt-collection to learn more about your rights under federal law. For instance, you have the right to stop or limit how we contact you.
 - Contact us about your payment options or pay online at www.durham-durham.com.
- Póngase en contacto con nosotros para solicitar una copia de este formulario en español.

Notice: See reverse side for important information.

no the same and the same of th

DURHAM & DURHAM, L.L.P. 5665 New Northside Drive, Suite 510 Atlanta, GA 30328

10W	do y	ou w	ant	to	respond?	

Check all that apply:

- ☐ I want to dispute the debt because I think:

 - ☐ This is not my debt. ☐ The amount is wrong.
 - Other (Please describe on reverse or attach additional information).
- \square I want you to send me the name and address of the original creditor.
- ☐ I enclosed this amount:

Make your check payable to Durham & Durham, LLP. Include the Reference File Number 14118455.

☐ Quiero este formulario en español.



KIRA T LOWREY 223 SPUR 101 SE SILVER CREEK, GA 30173-2034 DURHAM & DURHAM, L.L.P. 5665 New Northside Drive, Suite 510 Atlanta, GA 30328