### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ED MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

STEPHANY WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

DISH NETWORK, LLC,

Defendant.

DEBRA P. HACKETT. CLK U.S. DISTRICT COURT MODEL DISTRICT ALA CASE NO.:

3:18-00-636-CSC

Demand for Jury Trial

#### **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Stephany Washington, by and through the undersigned counsel, and sues Defendant, DISH NETWORK, LLC, and in support thereof respectfully alleges violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA").

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. The TCPA was enacted to prevent companies like DISH NETWORK, LLC ("Dish") from invading American citizen's privacy and to prevent abusive "robocalls."

2. "The TCPA is designed to protect individual consumers from receiving intrusive and unwanted telephone calls." *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).

3. "Senator Hollings, the TCPA's sponsor, described these calls as 'the scourge of modern civilization, they wake us up in the morning; they interrupt our dinner

#### Case 3:18-cv-00636-CSC Document 1 Filed 07/05/18 Page 2 of 10

at night; they force the sick and elderly out of bed; they hound us until we want to rip the telephone out of the wall.' 137 Cong. Rec. 30, 821 (1991). Senator Hollings presumably intended to give telephone subscribers another option: telling the auto-dialers to simply stop calling." *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F. 3d 1242 1256 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014).

4. According to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), "Unwanted calls and texts are the number one complaint to the FCC. There are thousands of complaints to the FCC every month on both telemarketing and robo-calls. The FCC received more than 215,000 TCPA complaints in 2014." *Fact Sheet: Wheeler Proposal to Protect and Empower Consumers Against Unwanted Robo-calls, Texts to Wireless Phones*, Federal Communications Commission, (May 27, 2015), https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs\_public/attachmatch/DOC-333676A1.pdf.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Jurisdiction and venue for purposes of this action are appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Federal Question Jurisdiction, as this action involves violations of the TCPA.

6. Subject matter jurisdiction, federal question jurisdiction, for purposes of this action is appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which provides that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; and this action involves violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). See *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, S.Ct. 740, 748 (2012) and *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F.3d 1242, 1249 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014).

7. The alleged violations described herein occurred in Russell County, Alabama. Accordingly, venue is appropriate with this Court under 28 U.S.C. \$1391(b)(2), as it is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Plaintiff is a natural person, and citizen of the State of Alabama, residing in Phenix City, Russell County, Alabama.

9. Plaintiff is the "called party." See Breslow v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 755 F. 3d 1265 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014) and Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B., 746 F.3d 1242 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014).

10. Defendant is a corporation which was formed in Colorado with its principal place of business located at 9601 S. Meridian Boulevard, Englewood, Colorado 80112, and which conducts business in the State of Alabama through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, Inc., located at 641 South Lawrence Street, Montgomery, Alabama 36104.

11. Defendant called Plaintiff approximately three hundred (300) times in an attempt to collect an alleged debt related to cable services and hardware.

12. Defendant intentionally, knowing and/or willfully harassed and abused Plaintiff on numerous occasions by calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone, beginning in or around October of 2015, with such frequency as can reasonably be expected to harass and in effort to collect upon alleged debt.

#### Case 3:18-cv-00636-CSC Document 1 Filed 07/05/18 Page 4 of 10

13. Upon information and belief, some or all of the calls Defendant made to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number were made using an "automatic telephone dialing system" which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator (including but not limited to a predictive dialer) or an artificial or prerecorded voice; and to dial such numbers as specified by 47 U.S.C § 227(a)(1) (hereinafter "auto-dialer calls"). Plaintiff will testify that she knew it was an auto-dialer because of the vast number of calls she received and because she heard a pause when she answered her phone before an agent/representative of Defendant would come on the line. Additionally, Plaintiff would often be met with a pre-recorded message and/or artificial voice from Defendant.

14. Plaintiff is the subscriber, regular user and carrier of the cellular telephone number (706) \*\*\*-9931, and was the called party and recipient of Defendant's calls.

15. Defendant placed an exorbitant amount of automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone (706) \*\*\*-9931, in an attempt to reach an individual.

16. Plaintiff does not currently know, nor has ever known, the individual.

17. Plaintiff does not currently have any account or business dealings with Defendant.

18. On several occasions since the inception of her account, Plaintiff instructed Defendant's agent(s) to stop calling her cellular telephone.

19. Upon receipt of the calls Plaintiff's caller ID identified the calls were being initiated from, but not limited to, the following number: (866) 668-8047, and when

#### Case 3:18-cv-00636-CSC Document 1 Filed 07/05/18 Page 5 of 10

that number is called, the caller is met with an automated message that states "Thank you for choosing Dish."

20. While Plaintiff had an account with Defendant, Defendant would often call Plaintiff's cellular telephone number and ask for a male individual unknown to the Plaintiff.

21. In or about March 2016, Plaintiff answered a call from Defendant, was met with an extended pause, held on the line to be connected to a live agent/representative and informed the agent/representative to immediately cease all calls to her cellular telephone and that she is not the individual they were calling about. Plaintiff informed Defendant's agent/representative that the calls to her cellular telephone were harassing and demanded Defendant immediately cease all calls to her cellular telephone.

22. During the aforementioned telephone conversation with Defendant's agent/representative, Plaintiff explicitly revoked any previously perceived expressed consent Defendant may have believed it had for placement of telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone by the use of an auto-dialer or artificial voice or pre-recorded message.

23. Each call Defendant made to Plaintiff's aforementioned cellular telephone number was done so without the "express consent" of Plaintiff.

24. Each call Defendant made to Plaintiff's aforementioned cellular telephone number was knowing and willful.

#### Case 3:18-cv-00636-CSC Document 1 Filed 07/05/18 Page 6 of 10

25. Despite actual knowledge of their wrongdoing, Defendant continued the campaign of abuse, calling Plaintiff's continually despite Plaintiff informing Defendant that she was not the individual for whom they were calling, and not having her express permission to call her aforementioned cellular telephone number.

26. Again, on or about January 13, 2017, Plaintiff answered a call from Defendant to her aforementioned cellular telephone number. Plaintiff spoke to an agent/representative of Defendant and informed the agent/representative that the calls to her cellular telephone were harassing, that she had previously requested they stop calling her cellular telephone, and demanded that they cease calling her cellular telephone number.

27. Similarly, on or about January 20, 2017, Plaintiff received a call from Defendant, was met with an extended pause, eventually was connected to agent/representative of Defendant, and demanded that Defendant stop calling her cellular telephone number.

28. Plaintiff's numerous conversations with Defendant's agent/representative over the telephone wherein she demanded a cessation of calls were in vain as defendant continued to bombard her with automated calls unabated.

29. From about March of 2016 through the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has placed approximately three hundred (300) actionable calls to Plaintiff's aforementioned cellular telephone number. (Please see attached **Exhibit "A"** representing a non-exclusive call log of one hundred forty (140) calls between March 31, 2017 and September 30, 2017.

#### Case 3:18-cv-00636-CSC Document 1 Filed 07/05/18 Page 7 of 10

30. Defendant has a corporate policy to use an automatic telephone dialing system or a pre-recorded or artificial voice to individuals just as they did to Plaintiff's cellular telephone in this case.

31. Defendant has a corporate policy to use an automatic telephone dialing system or a pre-recorded or artificial voice, just as they did to the Plaintiff's cellular telephone in this case, with no way for the consumer, or Defendant to remove the number.

32. Defendant's corporate policy is structured so as to continue to call individuals like Plaintiff, despite these individuals explaining to Defendant they do not wish to be called.

33. Defendant has numerous other federal lawsuits pending against them alleging similar violations as stated in this Complaint.

34. Defendant has numerous complaints against it across the country asserting that its automatic telephone dialing system continues to call despite being requested to stop.

35. Defendant has had numerous complaints against it from consumers across the country asking to not be called; however Defendant continues to call these individuals.

36. Defendant's corporate policy provided no means for Plaintiff to have Plaintiff's number removed from Defendant call list.

37. Defendant has a corporate policy to harass and abuse individuals despite actual knowledge the called parties do not wish to be called.

38. Not one of Defendant's telephone calls placed to Plaintiff were for "emergency purposes" as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

39. Defendant willfully and/or knowingly violated the TCPA with respect to Plaintiff.

40. From each and every call placed without express consent by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone, Plaintiff suffered the injury of invasion of privacy and the intrusion upon her right of seclusion.

41. From each and every call without express consent placed by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone, Plaintiff suffered the injury of the occupation of her cellular telephone line and cellular phone by unwelcome calls, making the phone unavailable for legitimate callers or outgoing calls while the phone was ringing from Defendant call.

42. From each and every call placed without express consent by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone, Plaintiff suffered the injury of unnecessary expenditure of her time. For calls she answered, the time she spent on the call was unnecessary as she repeatedly asked for the calls to stop. Even for unanswered calls, Plaintiff had to waste time to unlock the phone and deal with missed call notifications and call logs that reflect the unwanted calls. This also impaired the usefulness of these features of Plaintiff's cellular phone, which are designed to inform the user of important missed communications.

43. Each and every call placed without express consent by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone was an injury in the form of a nuisance and annoyance to the Plaintiff. For calls that were answered, Plaintiff had to go to the unnecessary trouble of answering them. Even for unanswered calls, Plaintiff had to waste time to unlock the

#### Case 3:18-cv-00636-CSC Document 1 Filed 07/05/18 Page 9 of 10

phone and deal with missed call notifications and call logs that reflected the unwanted calls. This also impaired the usefulness of these features of Plaintiff's cellular phone, which are designed to inform the user of important missed communications.

44. Each and every call placed without express consent by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone resulted in the injury of unnecessary expenditure of Plaintiff's cell phone's battery power.

45. Each and every call placed without express consent by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone where a voice message was left which occupied space in Plaintiff's phone or network.

46. Each and every call placed without express consent by Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone resulted in the injury of a trespass to Plaintiff's chattel, namely her cellular phone and her cellular phone services.

47. As a result of the calls described above, Plaintiff suffered an invasion of privacy. Plaintiff was also affect in a personal and individualized way by stress, anxiety and aggravation.

#### **<u>COUNT I</u>** (Violation of the TCPA)

48. Plaintiff fully incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs one (1) through forty-seven (47) as if fully set forth herein.

49. Defendant willfully violated the TCPA with respect to Plaintiff, specifically for each of the auto-dialer calls made to Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Plaintiff notified Defendant that Plaintiff wished for the calls to stop

50. Defendant repeatedly placed non-emergency telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or prerecorded or artificial voice without Plaintiff's prior express consent in violation of federal law, including 47 U.S.C § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable and judgment against DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. for statutory damages, punitive damages, actual damages, treble damages, enjoinder from further violations of these parts and any other such relief the court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

SHAUGHN C. HILL, ESQ. MORGAN & MORGAN TAMPA P.A. Florida Bar No.: 105995 201 N. Franklin Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Tampa, FL 33602 Phone: (813) 223-5505 Facsimile: (813) 223-5402 shill@forthepeople.com Attorney for Plaintiff

	Date	Time	Caller Number	
1.	3/31/17	5:54	(866) 668-8047	
2.	4/3/17	3:54	(866) 668-8047	
3.	4/5/17	10:56 am	(866) 668-8047	
4.	4/5/17	1:47	(866) 668-8047	
5.	4/6/17	6:40	(866) 668-8047	
6.	4/10/17	5:48	(866) 668-8047	
7.	4/14/17	6:09	(866) 668-8047	
8.	4/19/17	6:44	(866) 668-8047	
9.	4/20/17	5:39	(866) 668-8047	
10.	4/21/17	5:34	(866) 668-8047	
11.	4/26/17	3:41	(866) 668-8047	
12.	4/27/17	3:01	(866) 668-8047	
13.	4/27/17	6:51	(866) 668-8047	
14.	5/1/17	6:46	(866) 668-8047	
15.	5/2/17	10:42	(866) 668-8047	
16.	5/3/17	10:38	(866) 668-8047	
17.	5/3/17	6:20	(866) 668-8047	
18.	5/4/17	10:37	(866) 668-8047	
19.	5/5/17	4:52	(866) 668-8047	
20.	5/8/17	4:52	(866) 668-8047	
21.	5/10/17	1:45	(866) 668-8047	
22.	5/11/17	6:39	(866) 668-8047	
23.	5/12/17	6:16	(866) 668-8047	
24.	5/15/17	5:35	(866) 668-8047	
25.	5/16/17	5:15	(866) 668-8047	
26.	5/17/17	3:48	(866) 668-8047	
27.	5/18/17	3:27	(866) 668-8047	
28.	5/19/17	5:13	(866) 668-8047	
29.	5/22/17	6:51	(866) 668-8047	
30.	5/24/17	10:04 am	(866) 668-8047	
31.	5/25/17	10:03 am	(866) 668-8047	
32.	6/7/17	6:33	(866) 668-8047	
33.	6/8/17	10:03 am	(866) 668-8047	
34.	6/9/17	10:42 am	(866) 668-8047	
35.	6/10/17	3:25	(866) 668-8047	

36.	6/12/17	10:37 am	(866) 668-8047	
37.	6/13/17	10:02 am	(866) 668-8047	
38.	6/14/17	11:44 am	(866) 668-8047	
39.	6/16/17	2:02	(866) 668-8047	
40.	6/16/17	4:49	(866) 668-8047	
41.	6/17/17	10:44 am	(866) 668-8047	
42.	6/19/17	3:53	(866) 668-8047	
43.	6/19/17	6:18	(866) 668-8047	
44.	6/20/17	4:26	(866) 668-8047	
<b>4</b> 5.	6/21/17	1:54	(866) 668-8047	
46.	6/21/17	6:32	(866) 668-8047	
47.	6/22/17	5:36	(866) 668-8047	
48.	6/26/17	3:06	(866) 668-8047	
49.	6/27/17	4:57	(866) 668-8047	
50.	6/28/17	3:41	(866) 668-8047	
51.	6/28/17	6:50	(866) 668-8047	
52.	6/29/17	3:56	(866) 668-8047	
53.	6/30/17	3:22	(866) 668-8047	
54.	7/1/17	3:52	(866) 668-8047	
55.	7/5/17	3:51	(866) 668-8047	
56.	7/5/17	6:21	(866) 668-8047	
57.	7/6/17	3:19	(866) 668-8047	
58.	7/7/17	4:41	(866) 668-8047	
59.	7/8/17	3:05	(866) 668-8047	
6Ö.	7/10/17	5:33	(866) 668-8047	
61.	7/11/17	3:50	(866) 668-8047	
62.	7/11/17	6:08	(866) 668-8047	
63.	7/12/17	4:44	(866) 668-8047	
64.	7/13/17	5:18	(866) 668-8047	
65.	7/14/17	3:19	(866) 668-8047	
66.	7/15/17	3:00	(866) 668-8047	
67.	7/17/17	4:15	(866) 668-8047	
68.	7/18/17	10:02 am	(866) 668-8047	
69.	7/19/17	10:32 am	(866) 668-8047	
70.	7/20/17	10:02 am	(866) 668-8047	

,

71.	7/21/17	10:03 am	(866) 668-8047	
72.	7/22/17	11:50 am	(866) 668-8047	
73.	7/24/17	10:03 am	(866) 668-8047	
74.	7/25/17	10:02 am	(866) 668-8047	
75.	7/26/17	10:04 am	(866) 668-8047	
76.	7/27/17	10:02 am	(866) 668-8047	
77.	7/28/17	3:14	(866) 668-8047	
78.	7/28/17	6:26	(866) 668-8047	
79.	7/29/17	3:17	(866) 668-8047	
80.	7/31/17	1:22	(866) 668-8047	
81.	8/1/17	1:06	(866) 668-8047	
82.	8/1/17	4:13	(866) 668-8047	
83.	8/2/17	1:24	(866) 668-8047	
84.	8/3/17	3:37	(866) 668-8047	
85.	8/4/17	3:48	(866) 668-8047	
86.	8/5/17	4:09	(866) 668-8047	
87.	8/7/17	4:19	(866) 668-8047	
88.	8/8/17	4:30	(866) 668-8047	
89.	8/9/17	1:56	(866) 668-8047	
90.	8/9/17	6:21	(866) 668-8047	
91.	8/10/17*	3:14	(866) 668-8047	
92.	8/11/17	1:50	(866) 668-8047	
93,	8/11/17	5:45	(866) 668-8047	
94.	8/11/17	1:50	(866) 668-8047	
95.	8/12/17	3:27	(866) 668-8047	
96.	8/15/17	4:24	(866) 668-8047	
97.	8/16/17	4:39	(866) 668-8047	
98.	8/17/17	4:19	(866) 668-8047	
99.	8/18/17	5:47	(866) 668-8047	
100.	8/19/17	3:36	(866) 668-8047	
101.	8/21/17	4:24	(866) 668-8047	
102.	8/22/17	4:00	(866) 668-8047	
103.	8/23/17	4:51	(866) 668-8047	
104.	8/24/17	6:21	(866) 668-8047	
105.	8/25/17	4:26	(866) 668-8047	
106.	8/28/17	4:13	(866) 668-8047	

107.	8/28/17	6:54	(866) 668-8047	
108.	8/29/17	5:15	(866) 668-8047	
109.	8/30/17	3:11	(866) 668-8047	
110.	8/30/17	5:49	(866) 668-8047	
111.	8/31/17	3:57	(866) 668-8047	
112.	9/1/17	4:53	(866) 668-8047	
113.	9/2/17	2:45	(866) 668-8047	
114.	9/5/17	2:03	(866) 668-8047	
115.	9/6/17	1:27	(866) 668-8047	
116.	9/8/17	3:33	(866) 668-8047	
117.	9/8/17	6:47	(866) 668-8047	
118.	9/9/17	12:50	(866) 668-8047	
119.	9/11/17	1:02	(866) 668-8047	
120.	9/11/17	6:34	(866) 668-8047	
121.	9/13/17	12:51	(866) 668-8047	
122.	9/15/17	1:41	(866) 668-8047	
123.	9/15/17	4:47	(866) 668-8047	
124.	9/16/17	12:56	(866) 668-8047	
125.	9/18/17	6:18	(866) 668-8047	
126.	9/19/17	3:30	(866) 668-8047	
127.	9/20/17	3:08	(866) 668-8047	
128.	9/20/17	6:17	(866) 668-8047	
129.	9/21/17	3:09	(866) 668-8047	
130.	9/21/17	5:41	(866) 668-8047	
131.	9/22/17	3:35	(866) 668-8047	
132.	9/22/17	6:15	(866) 668-8047	
133.	9/25/17	1:52	(866) 668-8047	
134.	9/25/17	4:57	(866) 668-8047	
135.	9/26/17	1:47	(866) 668-8047	
136.	9/26/17	4:41	(866) 668-8047	
137.	9/28/17	3:53	(866) 668-8047	
138.	9/29/17	1:39	(866) 668-8047	
139.	9/29/17	4:58	(866) 668-8047	
140.	9/30/17	1:54	(866) 668-8047	
141.	9//17	12:50	(866) 668-8047	
142.	10//17	1:02	(866) 668-8047	

143.	10//17	6:34	(866) 668-8047
144.	10//17	12:51	(866) 668-8047
145.	10//17	1:41	(866) 668-8047
146.	10//17	4:47	(866) 668-8047
147.	10//17	12:56	(866) 668-8047

			2.18	CN-103(0-	CSC
( Pa	se <u>3-18-cv-006</u> 3	36-CSC Docu	ment 1-2 Filed 07	105/18 Page 1 of	100
JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)		CIVIL CO	<b>JVER SHEE I</b>	e of pleadings or other papers	as required by law except as
provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	t. This form approved by f	the Judicial Conference of	of the United States in September	1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
STEPHANY WASHING	ron	RE	CEIVISHDETWORK	, LLC	
(b) County of Residence		RUSSELL 2019		e of First Listed Defendant	
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ases) LUIB JU	NOTE: IN LAND C	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T T OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Shaughn C. Hill, Esq., M Floor, Tampa FL 33602,	organ & Morgan, 201	DEBRA F North Franklin Stree	HACKETT CL K		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in C	Ine Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	S Federal Question (U.S. Government	Nọt a Party)		TF DEF D 1 D 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In 7	• .
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and 1 of Business In	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	J 3 D 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	Click here for: Nature of Su BANKRUPTCY	
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul> <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> 210 Land Condemnation           220 Foreclosure           230 Rent Lease & Ejectment           245 Tort Product Liability           290 All Other Real Property	<ul> <li>330 Federal Employers' Liability</li> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product Liability</li> <li>350 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>360 Other Personal Injury</li> <li>362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice</li> <li>CTVIL RIGHTS</li> <li>440 Other Civil Rights</li> <li>441 Voting</li> <li>442 Employment</li> <li>443 Housing/ Accommodations</li> <li>445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment</li> <li>446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other</li> <li>448 Education</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY      365 Personal Injury     Product Liability     367 Health Care/     Pharmaceutical     Personal Injury     Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal     Injury Product     Liability     PERSONAL PROPER     370 Other Fraud     371 Truth in Lending     380 Other Personal     Property Damage     385 Strongenty Damage     530 General     510 Motions to Vacate     Sentence     530 General     530 General     550 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition     560 Civil Detainee     Conditions of     Confinement	of Property 21 USC 881 G 690 Other TY 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 740 International Income Security Act 740 International Income Security Act 740 International Internation 740 Autoralization Application 740 Autoralization Application 740 International Internation 740 Internation	□       422 Appeal 28 USC 158         □       423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157         □       820 Copyrights         □       820 Copyrights         □       820 Copyrights         □       820 Copyrights         □       840 Trademark         SOCIAL SECURITY         □       861 HIA. (1395ff)         □       862 Black Lung (923)         □       863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))         □       864 SSID Title XVI         □       865 RSI (405(g))         ■       870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)         □       871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609         □       4/1	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
	noved from 3 te Court	Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened D 5 Transft Anothe (specify) e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	er District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	· .			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes I No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 06/22/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATTO /s/ Shaughn C. I			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	ÍOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JÜL	DGE
ACCEIFT#AN			JUDGE	MAG. JUL	

.1

Court Name: U S DISTRICT COURT - AL/M Division: 2 Receipt Number: 4602049912 Cashier ID: bhill Transaction Date: 07/05/2018 Payer Name: MORGAN AND MORGAN

-----

CIVIL FILING FEE For: MORGAN AND MORGAN Amount: \$400.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 586581 Amt Tendered: \$400.00

 Total Due:
 \$400.00

 Total Tendered:
 \$400.00

 Change Amt:
 \$0.00

3:18-CV-636

Washington v. Dish Network LLC