UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUAN DE LA ROSA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Index No. 1:18-cv-0073

Plaintiff,

-against-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

TRANS UNION LLC

Defendant.

-----Х

The Plaintiff, Juan De La Rosa ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned counsel, Mallon Consumer Law Group, PLLC, brings this action against Defendant Trans Union LLC ("Trans Union"), and alleges the following, upon information and belief, except as to those allegations which directly relate to the Plaintiff himself, which are alleged upon personal knowledge:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.*, and the New York Fair Credit Reporting Act ("NY FCRA"), N.Y. GBL §§ 380 *et seq.* Defendant Trans Union—a national consumer reporting agency—violated both the FCRA and the NY FCRA by preparing and furnishing consumer reports listing civil judgments that had been previously vacated.

2. Plaintiff further alleges that he suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful actions, conduct, and omissions, and due to the inaccurate and misrepresented

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 2 of 13

information wrongfully being listed on his Trans Union consumer reports.

3. Plaintiff brings this action for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, and for statutory attorney's fees and litigation costs, pursuant to the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o, and the NY FCRA, N.Y. GBL §§ 380-1 and 380-m. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks equitable relief requiring Trans Union to implement and maintain procedures to ensure future compliance with the NY FCRA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The jurisdiction of this Court is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.

5. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as well as supplemental jurisdiction of those state law claims asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. Venue is properly laid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Juan De La Rosa, an adult natural person, is a resident of the State of New York, and qualifies as an individual "consumer" within the meaning of both the FCRA and the NY FCRA. <u>See</u> 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c); N.Y. GBL § 380-a(b).

8. Defendant Trans Union is a Delaware corporation, duly authorized and qualified to do business in the State of New York, and qualifies as a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of both the FCRA and NY FCRA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f); N.Y. GBL § 380-a(e). ///

///

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

PLAINTIFF'S INDIVIDUAL FACTS:

9. On August 2, 2012, the Civil Court of the City of New York, County of Bronx, filed a default judgment—Index No. CV-008789-12/BX—in favor Velocity Investments, LLC ("Velocity Investments") and against Mr. De La Rosa, in the amount of \$4,416.45 (hereinafter the "subject civil judgment obligation").

10. In or around early March 2015, after viewing his credit reports, Plaintiff first became aware that the subject civil judgment had been entered against him.

11. In or around March 6, 2015, Plaintiff personally visited the Civil Court of the City of New York, Bronx County and filed an Order to Show Cause with supporting documents, requesting the Court to vacate the default judgment and restore the case to the calendar, since Plaintiff was never given notice of the action prior to the default judgment that was entered against him.

12. The Order to Show Cause was granted on March 23, 2015, temporarily vacating the default judgment, and a hearing was scheduled for March 23, 2015 for final determination on the Plaintiff's request to vacate the subject judgment.

13. At the hearing on March 23, 2015, the Court entered a final Order vacating the subject judgment and eliminating all consequences resulting from the judgment, and scheduled a trial for May 14, 2015. The Order vacating the judgment was filed with the Bronx County Court on that date and thus became part of the public record.

14. On May 14, 2015, when Velocity Investments was not prepared to proceed to trial, a decision/order was entered dismissing the case. The Order was filed with the Bronx County civil

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 4 of 13

court clerk and thus became part of the public record.

15. On or around January 7, 2016, Plaintiff applied for a credit card with Municipal Credit Union.

16. In connection with that application for credit, Municipal Credit Union requested a copy of the Plaintiff's consumer report from Defendant Trans Union.

17. The copy of Plaintiff's consumer report that Trans Union provided to Municipal Credit Union contained information regarding the Velocity Investments judgment. Trans Union reported that the judgment was valid and owing, when in fact that judgment had been vacated for nearly 10 months at that point and the entire case had been dismissed as described above.

18. Municipal Credit Union granted the Plaintiff's application but on less favorable terms due to the presence of the Velocity Investments judgment on Plaintiff's Trans Union report.

19. Trans Union tacitly acknowledged that the subject judgment did not belong on the Plaintiff's consumer reports when it informed Plaintiff that it was removing the report from his file on January 26, 2016 in response to the Plaintiff's dispute.

TRANS UNION'S REPORTING OF PUBLIC RECORDS:

20. Defendant Trans Union is one of the three major national credit reporting agencies ("CRAs").

21. Defendant Trans Union sells consumer reports (commonly referred to as "credit reports") regarding millions of consumers annually.

22. Defendant Trans Union is regulated by both the FCRA and its state counterpart, the NY FCRA.

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 5 of 13

23. Upon information and belief, for several years Defendant has obtained its information about New York State civil court judgments, (*i.e.*, "public records" information) from LexisNexis Risk & Information Analytics Group, Inc. ("LexisNexis"), First Advantage Corporation, a Symphony Technology Group company ("First Advantage"), and/or other private businesses that it calls "vendors," which furnish such information to national CRAs.

24. Trans Union has not retrieved actual public records from courthouses or actual government offices for many years.

25. Trans Union is aware that the public records information that Defendant receives from its vendors is not updated on a regular basis to determine whether a civil court judgment has been vacated or satisfied.

26. Trans Union is aware that its vendors have the ability to update their information regarding civil court judgments on a regular basis, but Trans Union has declined to require its vendors to obtain that information because it does not want to pay the additional costs necessary to obtain updated and accurate information regarding civil court judgments.

27. Trans Union has repeatedly been sued by New York consumers over the prior several years for publishing consumer reports that contained outdated and inaccurate information regarding civil court judgments. Trans Union has specifically been sued repeatedly for the precise conduct at issue in Plaintiff's case – continuing to report judgments that have been vacated on consumer credit reports.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Trans Union has been further put on notice that it consistently reports inaccurate and outdated public record information via hundreds or thousands of

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 6 of 13

disputes made by New York consumers over the past several years disputing public record information contained in Trans Union's files.

29. Accordingly, Trans Union has made a business decision that it was willing to accept the publishing of inaccurate and outdated reporting of public record information on consumer reports belonging to New York residents in order to save money regarding the purchasing of data regarding public records from its vendors.

TRANS UNION'S LEGAL OBLIGATIONS:

30. The FCRA provides: "Whenever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

31. Further, the NY FCRA requires that:

A consumer reporting agency which compiles and reports items of information on consumers which are matters of public record shall: (a) at the time such public record information is reported to the user of such consumer report, notify the consumer of the fact that public record information is being reported by the consumer reporting agency, together with the name and address of the person to whom such information is being reported; or (b) maintain reasonable procedures designed to ensure that whenever public record information is reported it is complete and up to date to the extent practicable. It shall be deemed a reasonable procedure for a consumer reporting agency to accurately report the status of public record information as of the date recorded in its files *provided such information is updated on a regular basis*.

N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 380-g (emphasis added).

32. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant's conduct was a result of its deliberate policies and

practices, was willful, was intentionally accomplished through intended procedures, and was carried

out in reckless disregard for a consumer's rights as set forth in sections and 1681e(b) and 380-g of

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 7 of 13

the FCRA, and the NYFCRA. Upon information and belief, Trans Union's collecting and reporting the initial entry of NY Civil Court Judgments is believed by it to be of greater economic value than collecting and reporting information indicating that a civil court judgment has been vacated or satisfied.

33. The reporting of Plaintiff's vacated civil judgment by Trans Union was inaccurate, and occurred because Trans Union failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of his consumer report.

34. Indeed, Trans Union follows no procedure which assures that, when civil court judgment is vacated or satisfied the updated status is promptly obtained and reflected upon the consumer's credit report.

35. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant Trans Union was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendant herein.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as alleged above.37. This action is brought, and may properly be maintained as, a class action pursuant to Rule23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the following classes:

a. All natural persons who: (i) had a civil court judgment recorded in the State of New York; (ii) the civil court judgment appeared on a Trans Union consumer report dated within two years prior to the filing of the present Complaint; (iii) the civil court record indicated that the civil court judgment had been vacated or satisfied at least 30 days prior

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 8 of 13

to the date of the Trans Union consumer report; and (iv) the Trans Union consumer report contained a judgment without noting that the judgment had been vacated or failed to state that the civil court judgment had been satisfied. (hereinafter the "Two-year Failure to Update Class");

b. All natural persons who: (i) had a civil court judgment recorded in the State of New York; (ii) the civil court judgment appeared on a Trans Union consumer report dated within five years prior to the filing of the present Complaint; (iii) the civil court record indicated that the civil court judgment had been vacated or satisfied at least 30 days prior to the date of the Trans Union consumer report; and (iv) the Trans Union consumer report contained a judgment without noting that the judgment had been vacated or failed to state that the civil court judgment had been satisfied. (hereinafter the "Five-year Failure to Update Class");

38. The proposed Class definitions are provisional, and Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the definition of the Classes based on discovery or legal developments.

39. Plaintiff is a member of each proposed class that he seeks to represent.

40. The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) are met in that:

41. **Rule 23(a)(1) Numerosity**. The Class for whose benefit this action is brought is so numerous that joinder of all Class members is impracticable. Plaintiff believes that the Class, as described above, consists of thousands of individuals, although the exact number and identity of individual Class members are presently unknown, and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery. Although the precise number of Class members is known only to Trans Union, there are

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 9 of 13

tens of thousands of recorded civil court judgments in the State of New York, and the names and addresses of the Class members are identifiable through documents maintained by Trans Union and through publicly available records. Notice can be provided by means permissible under Rule 23.

42. **Rule 23(a)(2)** Commonality. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class, and predominate over the questions affecting only individual members. All of the legal and factual issues in this class action are common to each proposed class member, including:

- i. Whether Defendant willfully violated the FCRA and the NY FCRA by reporting civil court judgments on Class members' Trans Union consumer reports which, according to New York public records, were vacated or satisfied prior to the date of the Trans Union report, but which the Trans Union report failed to state that the civil court judgment had been vacated or satisfied.;
- Whether Defendant willfully violated the FCRA and the NY FCRA by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the civil court judgment information it reported.

37. **Rule 23(a)(3) Typicality**. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Members were injured through Defendant's uniformed misconduct described above and assert the same claims for relief. The same events and conduct that give rise to Plaintiff's claims are identical to those that give rise to the claims of every other Class Member because Plaintiff and each Class Member is a person that has suffered harm as a direct result of the same conduct (and omissions) engaged in by Defendant. As such, Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same

9

legal theories.

38. **Rule 23(a)(4)** Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff can and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and has retained competent counsel with experience in handling FCRA claims and consumer class actions in general. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests that might deter them from vigorously prosecuting this case. Accordingly, Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

39. **Rule 23(b)(3) Predominance and Superiority**. Questions of law and fact common to the Class members predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Trans Union's conduct described in this Complaint stems from common and uniform practices, resulting in common violations of the FCRA. Members of the Classes do not have an interest in pursuing separate actions against Trans Union, as the amount of each Class member's individual claim is small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. Class certification also will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Trans Union's practices. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not likely present any difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all Class members' claims in a single forum.

40. This action should be maintained as a class action because the prosecution of separate

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 11 of 13

actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the parties opposing the Classes, as well as a risk of adjudications with respect to individual members which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

<u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (NY FCRATwo-year Failure to Update Class Claim)

41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as alleged above.

42. Trans Union failed to comply with N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 380-g by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to ensure that whenever public record information is reported it is complete and up to date to the extent practicable.

43. Pursuant to N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 380-1, Trans Union is liable to Plaintiff and all Class members for its failure to comply with NY FCRA section 380-g, for injunctive relief as requested in the Player for Relief and also in an amount equal to the sum of (1) actual damages; (2) punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury; (3) attorney's fees; and (4) litigation costs, as well as such further relief as may be permitted by law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (FCRA Five-year Failure to Update Claim)

44. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as alleged above.

45. Trans Union failed to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the civil court judgment information in the

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 12 of 13

consumer reports it prepared regarding Plaintiff and the other Five-year Failure to Update Class members.

46. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n & o, Trans Union is liable to Plaintiff and all Class members for its failure to comply with FCRA Section 1681e(b), in an amount equal to the sum of (1) damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 per violation; (2) actual damages; (3) punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury; (4) attorney's fees; and (5) litigation costs, as well as such further relief as may be permitted by law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Classes pray for relief as follows:

A. An order certifying the case as a class action on behalf of the proposed Classes under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and appointing Plaintiff and the undersigned counsel of record to represent same;

B. A permanent injunction requiring Defendant to: (1) cease reporting vacated New York civil court judgments; (2) cease reporting any balance for satisfied New York civil court judgments; (3) notify all creditors and potential creditors of class members to whom it reported a New York civil court judgment as owing and/or with a balance that the subject judgment was actually vacated or satisfied at the time of Trans Union's credit reporting;

C. An award of statutory, actual and punitive damages for Plaintiff and the Classes;

D. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;

E. An award of attorneys' fees and costs; and,

F. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Case 1:18-cv-00073-UA Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 13 of 13

JURY DEMAND

THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED HEREIN.

Dated: January 4, 2018 New York, NY

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin C. Mallon Mallon Consumer Law Group, PLLC One Liberty Plaza, Suite 2301 New York, NY 10006 (646) 759-3663 consumer.esq@outlook.com *Attorneys for the Plaintiff Juan De La Rosa*