# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

ISXAAQ MUHAMMAD, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Case No.

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

V.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SERVICES, LLC DBA RELIANCE RECOVERIES

Defendant.

## NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff Isxaaq<sup>1</sup> Muhammad ("Plaintiff") brings this putative class action against Defendant Accounts Receivable Services, LLC dba Reliance Recoveries ("Defendant") pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

## JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND STANDING

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 3. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this district, where Plaintiff resides in this district, and where Defendant transacts business in this district.
- 4. "In determining whether an intangible harm constitutes injury in fact, both history and the judgment of Congress play important roles." *Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins*, 136 S. Ct. 1540, 1549, 194 L. Ed. 2d 635 (2016), *as revised* (May 24, 2016). Congress is "well positioned to identify

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pronounced like Isaac

intangible harms that meet minimum Article III requirements," thus "Congress may 'elevat[e] to the status of legally cognizable injuries concrete, *de facto* injuries that were previously inadequate in law." *Id.* (quoting *Lujan v. Defs of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 578 (1992)).

- 5. "Without the protections of the FDCPA, Congress determined, the '[e]xisting laws and procedures for redressing these injuries are inadequate to protect consumers." *Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 WL 3671467, at \*3 (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2016) (quoting 15 U.S.C. § 1692(b)). Thus, a failure to honor a consumer's right under the FDCPA constitutes an injury in fact for Article III standing. *See id.* at \*3 (holding that a consumer "has alleged a sufficiently concrete injury because he alleges that [Defendant] denied him the right to information due to him under the FDCPA"); *see also Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, No. 15-15708, 2016 WL 3611543, at \*3 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (holding that consumer's § 1692g claim was sufficiently concrete to satisfy injury-in-fact requirement).
- 6. "The Supreme Court has held time and again that the violation of a statutory right to receive information one is entitled to receive creates a concrete injury sufficient to confer standing on a plaintiff." *Zia v. CitiMortgage, Inc.*, 210 F. Supp. 3d 1334, 1343 (S.D. Fla. 2016).
- 7. "The FDCPA does create an informational right which did not exist prior to its enactment, and that right is tied to the harm which a consumer may suffer if not provided with that information. Consequently, the deprivation of that information is, in most cases, sufficient to confer Article III standing. That was the law before *Spokeo*, and that law was not based on an erroneous understanding of Article III like the one corrected by *Spokeo*, but by application of well-settled principles of standing jurisprudence which *Spokeo* did not change (and, in fact, upon which *Spokeo* relied)." *Hagy v. Demers & Adams, LLC*, No. 2:11-CV-530, 2017 WL 1134408, at \*4 (S.D. Ohio Mar. 27, 2017).

- 8. "[N]umerous other courts, including courts in this circuit and from around the country, have rejected *Spokeo*-based standing challenges in the context of FDCPA violations." *Neeley v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC*, No. 115CV01283RLYMJD, 2017 WL 3311045, at \*2 (S.D. Ind. Aug. 2, 2017) (citing *Pogorzelski v. Patenaude & Felix APC*, No. 16-C-1330, 2017 WL 2539782, at \*4, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89678, at \*11 (E.D. Wis. June 12, 2017)) (collecting cases).
- 9. "[E]ven though actual monetary harm is a sufficient condition to show concrete harm, it is *not* a necessary condition." *Lane*, 2016 WL 3671467 at \*4 (emphasis in original).

## THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 10. Congress enacted the FDCPA to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices, to ensure that debt collectors who abstain from such practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent state action to protect consumers." *Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich LPA*, 559 U.S. 573, 577 (2010) (citing 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e)).
- 11. "[T]he FDCPA is a broad remedial statute that imposes strict liability on debt collectors; its terms are to be applied 'in a liberal manner.'" Cordes v. Frederick J. Hanna & Associates, P.C., 789 F. Supp. 2d 1173, 1175 (D. Minn. 2011) (quoting Owens v. Hellmuth & Johnson, PLLC, 550 F. Supp. 2d 1060, 1063 (D. Minn. 2008)).
- 12. "The FDCPA is a remedial, strict liability statute which was intended to be applied in a liberal manner." *Picht v. John R. Hawks, Ltd.*, 77 F.Supp.2d 1041, 1043 (D. Minn. 1999).
- 13. "In evaluating whether a debt collection letter is false, misleading, or deceptive in violation of § 1692e, the letter must be viewed through the eyes of an unsophisticated consumer." *Peters v. Gen. Serv. Bureau, Inc.*, 277 F.3d 1051, 1055 (8th Cir. 2002).
- 14. The unsophisticated consumer standard is "designed to protect consumers of below average sophistication or intelligence, but they also contain an 'objective element of

reasonableness." Peters v. Gen. Serv. Bureau, Inc., 277 F.3d 1051, 1055 (8th Cir. 2002) (quoting Gammon v. G.C. Services Ltd. Partnership, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994)).

15. Therefore, a debt collector is liable for engaging in conduct that could mislead the unsophisticated consumer even if the debt collector did not intend to mislead, and even if the plaintiff is not actually misled.

## **PARTIES**

- 16. Plaintiff is a natural person who at all relevant times resided in the State of Minnesota, County of Ramsey, and City of Maplewood.
  - 17. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 18. Defendant is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
  - 19. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 20. Plaintiff is a natural person allegedly obligated to pay a debt asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 21. Plaintiff's alleged obligation arises from a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes—namely, personal medical services (the "Debt").
- 22. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts.
- 23. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due, another.

- 24. In connection with the collection of the Debt, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter dated November 7, 2016.
  - 25. A true and correct copy of the November 7, 2016 letter is attached as Exhibit A.
- 26. Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter was its initial communication with Plaintiff with respect to the Debt.
- 27. Among other information, Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter provides a "Service Date" of June 6, 2016, and an "Account Balance" of \$245.54.
- 28. Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter further states: "Interest may be charged at 6% per annum on the past due balance."
- 29. However, nowhere in Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter does it explain whether interest is in fact being charged, whether it is included in the \$245.54 account balance or whether it is separate from the \$245.54 account balance, whether it has been accruing from the service date forward or will accrue from the date of the letter forward, or the amount of principal upon which the interest rate will be charged.
- 30. Because all of the above questions are reasonable for the least sophisticated consumer—or even a reasonable consumer—to wonder, Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter is deceptive as a matter of law as to the amount of the Debt.
- 31. Further, because the amount of the Debt is not clearly and unambiguously stated in Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter, it also violates the FDCPA's requirement that the amount of the debt be meaningfully disclosed in the initial communication.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

32. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all factual allegations above.

- 33. Defendant's November 7, 2016 letter is based on a form or template used by Defendant to send collection letters (the "Template").
- 34. The Template fails to meaningfully convey the amount of the alleged debt, in the same manner as Defendant did with Plaintiff above.
- 35. The Template falsely represents the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt, in the same manner as Defendant did with Plaintiff above.
- 36. Defendant has used the Template to send collection letters to over 40 individuals in the State of Minnesota within the year prior to the filing of the original complaint in this matter.
- 37. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks to represent the following class of individuals:

All persons with a Minnesota address, to whom Defendant sent a letter based upon the Template, within one year before the date of this complaint, in connection with the collection of a consumer debt.

- 38. The proposed class specifically excludes the United States of America, the State of Minnesota, counsel for the parties, the presiding United States District Court Judge, the Judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and the Justices of the United States Supreme Court, all officers and agents of Defendant, and all persons related to within the third degree of consanguinity or affection to any of the foregoing persons.
  - 39. The class is averred to be so numerous that joinder of members is impracticable.
- 40. The exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery.
- 41. The class is ascertainable in that the names and addresses of all class members can be identified in business records maintained by Defendant.

- 42. There exists a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved that affect the parties to be represented. These common questions of law and fact predominate over questions that may affect individual class members. Such issues include, but are not limited to: (a) the existence of Defendant's identical conduct particular to the matters at issue; (b) Defendant's violations of the FDCPA; (c) the availability of statutory penalties; and (d) attorneys' fees and costs.
  - 43. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the class he seeks to represent.
- 44. The claims of Plaintiff and of the class originate from the same conduct, practice, and procedure on the part of Defendant. Thus, if brought and prosecuted individually, the claims of the members of the class would require proof of the same material and substantive facts.
- 45. Plaintiff possesses the same interests and has suffered the same injuries as each class member. Plaintiff asserts identical claims and seeks identical relief on behalf of the unnamed class members.
- 46. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class and has no interests adverse to or which directly and irrevocably conflict with the interests of other members of the class.
  - 47. Plaintiff is willing and prepared to serve this Court and the proposed class.
- 48. The interests of Plaintiff are co-extensive with and not antagonistic to those of the absent class members.
- 49. Plaintiff has retained the services of counsel who are experienced in consumer protection claims, as well as complex class action litigation, will adequately prosecute this action, and will assert, protect and otherwise represent Plaintiff and all absent class members.

- 50. Class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B). The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the class who are not parties to the action or could substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 51. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class, which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the parties opposing the classes. Such incompatible standards of conduct and varying adjudications, on what would necessarily be the same essential facts, proof and legal theories, would also create and allow the existence of inconsistent and incompatible rights within the class.
- 52. Class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) in that Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, making final declaratory or injunctive relief appropriate.
- 53. Class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) in that the questions of law and fact that are common to members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 54. Moreover, a class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversies raised in this Complaint in that: (a) individual claims by the class members will be impracticable as the costs of pursuit would far exceed what any one plaintiff or class member has at stake; (b) as a result, very little litigation has commenced over the controversies alleged in this Complaint and individual members are unlikely to have an interest in prosecuting and controlling separate individual actions; and (c) the concentration of litigation of these claims in one forum will achieve efficiency and promote judicial economy.

## COUNT I VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A)

- 55. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each factual allegation contained above.
- 56. The FDCPA creates a broad, flexible prohibition against the use of misleading, deceptive, or false representations in the collection of debts. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. *See Hamilton v. United Healthcare of Louisiana, Inc.*, 310 F.3d 385, 392 (5th Cir. 2002) (citing legislative history reference to the FDCPA's general prohibitions which "will enable the courts, where appropriate, to proscribe other improper conduct which is not specifically addressed").
- 57. Included as an example of conduct that violates section 1692e is the false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of a debt. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A).
- 58. Thus, the plain-language of the FDCPA makes it clear that under the strict liability framework, any false representation as to the amount of the debt is sufficient to show a violation of the FDCPA. See Randolph v. IMBS, Inc., 368 F.3d 726, 730 (7th Cir. 2004) ("§ 1692e(2)(A) creates a strict-liability rule. Debt collectors may not make false claims, period."); see also Turner v. J.V.D.B. & Associates, Inc., 330 F.3d 991, 995 (7th Cir. 2003) ("under § 1692e ignorance is no excuse").
- 59. Furthermore, "it is well established that a debt collection letter is deceptive where it can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate." *Gonzales v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 660 F.3d 1055, 1062 (9th Cir. 2011) (internal quotation omitted).
- 60. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiff's Debt in its November 7, 2016 letter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Determining that this action is a proper class action, certifying Plaintiff as a class representative under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and designating this Complaint the operable complaint for class purposes;
- b) Adjudging that Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) with respect to Plaintiff and the class he seeks to represent;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff and the class he seeks to represent actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- d) Awarding Plaintiff such additional damages as the Court may allow in the amount of \$1,000, pursuant to § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(i);
- e) Awarding all other class members such amount as the Court may allow, without regard to a minimum individual recovery, not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or one percent of the net worth of the debt collector, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(ii);
- f) Awarding Plaintiff and class he seeks to represent reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) and Rule 23;
- g) Awarding Plaintiff and the class he seeks to represent pre-judgment and postjudgment interest as permissible by law; and
- h) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

## COUNT II VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1)

- 61. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each factual allegation contained above.
- 62. A key provision of the FDCPA is § 1692g, which requires a debt collector to send, within five days of its initial communication with a consumer, a written notice which provides information regarding the debt and informs the consumer of his or her right to dispute the validity

of the debt, and/or request the name and address of the original creditor, within 30 days of receipt of the notice. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

- 63. Congress adopted "the debt validation provisions of section 1692g" to guarantee that consumers would receive "adequate notice" of their rights under the FDCPA. Wilson v. Quadramed Corp., 225 F.3d 350, 354 (3d Cir. 2000) (citing Miller v. Payco–General Am. Credits, Inc., 943 F.2d 482, 484 (4th Cir. 1991)).
- 64. This validation requirement is a "significant feature" of the law that aimed to "eliminate the recurring problem of debt collectors dunning the wrong person or attempting to collect debts which the consumer has already paid." *See Hernandez v. Williams, Zinman & Parham PC*, 829 F.3d 1068, 1070 (9th Cir. 2016) (citing S. Rep. No. 95-382, at 4 (1977)).
- 65. "It is not enough that the dunning letter state the amount of the debt that is due. It must state it clearly enough that the recipient is likely to understand it." *Chuway v. Nat'l Action Fin. Servs., Inc.*, 362 F.3d 944, 948 (7th Cir. 2004).
- 66. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) by failing to meaningfully convey the amount of the Debt to Plaintiff in its November 7, 2016 letter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Determining that this action is a proper class action, certifying Plaintiff as a class representative under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and designating this Complaint the operable complaint for class purposes;
- b) Adjudging that Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) with respect to Plaintiff and the class he seeks to represent;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff and the class he seeks to represent actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);

d) Awarding Plaintiff such additional damages as the Court may allow in the amount

of \$1,000, pursuant to § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(i);

e) Awarding all other class members such amount as the Court may allow, without

regard to a minimum individual recovery, not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or

one percent of the net worth of the debt collector, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1692k(a)(2)(B)(ii);

f) Awarding Plaintiff and class he seeks to represent reasonable attorneys' fees and

costs incurred in this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) and Rule 23;

g) Awarding Plaintiff and the class he seeks to represent pre-judgment and post-

judgment interest as permissible by law; and

h) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY

67. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: November 6, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/s/JD Haas

JD Haas

Bar Number 164173

JD Haas and Associates, PLLC

9801 Dupont Ave. South, Suite 430

Bloomington, MN 55431

Telephone:

(952) 345-1025

Facsimile:

(952) 854-1665

Email: jdhaas@consumerlawinfo.com

Correspondence address:

Thompson Consumer Law Group, PLLC

5235 E. Southern Ave D106-618

Mesa, AZ 85206

Attorneys for Isxaaq Muhammad

## EXHIBIT "1"

CASE 0:17-cv-05040-MJD-BRT Document 1-1 Filed 11/06/17 Page 2 of 2

PO Box 29227 Minneapolis MN 55429-0227

ELECTRONIC SERVICE REQUESTED

Relignce Recoveries

6160 Summit Drive Suite 420 Brooklyn Center MN 55430-2149 (763) 561-9120 • (800) 247-2009

November 07 2016 6146618

000808

Isxaaq S Muhammad
Unit 2
1686 Village Tri E
Maplewood MN 55109-5830
http://dilphydylphyddylphyddylphyddylphyddylphyddylphyddylphyddyllh

Re: Reliance Account #: 6146618

Account With: Maplewood Allina Health

Patient: Isxaaq S Muhammad Client Account #: 63526946 Service Date: 06/06/16 Account Balance: \$245.54

Dear Isxaaq S Muhammad,

Your above referenced account has been assigned to Reliance Recoveries for collection. Our client's records indicate that your account is delinquent for the full amount listed.

Please read the following statement carefully.

Unless you notify this office within thirty days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume the debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within thirty days of receiving this notice, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment, if any, and will mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request from this office in writing within thirty days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

Respectfully,

Reliance Recoveries

This communication from a debt collector is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Interest may be charged at 6% per annum on the past due balance.

This collection agency is licensed by the Minnesota Department of Commerce.

If you feel that your concerns have not been addressed, please contact Reliance Recoveries first and allow us the opportunity to try and address your concerns. If you continue to have concerns that have not been addressed, you may contact the Minnesota Attorney General's Office, which can be reached at 651-296-3353 or 1-800-657-3787.

You can make payment on your account 24 hours a day by calling our automated system at (763) 561-9120 or (800) 247-2009.

## CASE 0:17-cv-05040-MJD-PRTL Postument 1-2 Eiled 11/06/17 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	TRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	OF THIS FORM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Isxaaq Muhammad, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated				Accounts Receivable Services, LLC dba Reliance Recoveries				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Ramsey				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
(y				NOTE:	IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
				62520002-91	THE TRACT	Γ OF LAND INVOL	VED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Mumber) JD HAAS, JD Haas and Associates, PLLC; (952) 345-1025				Attorneys (If Known)				
9801 Dupont Ave South, Suite 430, Bloomington MN 55431				20) 100m2 (10				
9601 Dupont Ave South,	Suite 450, Bloomingto	I CACC MIM U						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)			RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)	
□ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question		3	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1	F DEF		and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF	
Plaintiff			Citize	Citizen of This State				
						of Business In This	s State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	Citizen of Another State				
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In Another State				
				Citizen or Subject of a				
Foreign Country								
IV. NATURE OF SUIT								
CONTRACT	PRODUCT CONTROL POWO LONG TO CONTROL	RTS		DRFEITURE/PENALTY	Francisco de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appe	eal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881	MADE TOWNS OF STREET	SC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	1000		200.00	3797.3270	☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
7/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical			PROPER  820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copy		470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	1		☐ 840 Trade	emark	Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR	COCTAT	CECUDITY	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY 🗆 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	SECURITY (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	601.000 H.C.   D	Act	☐ 862 Black	k Lung (923)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending☐ 380 Other Personal		0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 863 DIW ☐ 864 SSID	C/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		1 Family and Medical	☐ 865 RSI (		□ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	8	Leave Act			☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	Product Liability		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc.			Act  896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Security Act	FEDER/	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	e		All the second to be a second begins	s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of	
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	Sentence			The same of the sa	efendant) —Third Party	Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:  530 General				SC 7609	State Statutes	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			And and a second of the second and a second and a	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 540 Mandamus & Ott ☐ 550 Civil Rights		32 Naturalization Application 33 Habeas Corpus -				
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Condition	15.40	Alien Detainee				
	Other	560 Civil Detainee -		(Prisoner Petition)				
	☐ 448 Education	Conditions of Confinement	□ 46	55 Other Immigration Actions				
							<u>L</u>	
	n "X" in One Box Only)			_ Transf	ferred from		• 2200	
		Remanded from Appellate Court			er district	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	***		Do not cite jurisdictional sta	who .			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 15 USC 1962 Brief description of ca							
		air Debt Collection	Practice	es Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	ola in	EMAND \$	C	HECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	23			J	URY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S)							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER		
6				Va 4400 200 200 200 200 200 200				
DATE 44 (00 (004 7	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
11/06/2017		/s/JD Haas						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT# AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		мад. лиг	OGE	

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is aparty, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes**unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
  Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.